



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/633,320	08/07/2000	George H. Buabbud	069116.0258	3464

50627 7590 03/15/2006

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.
2001 ROSS AVENUE
6TH FLOOR
DALLAS, TX 75201

EXAMINER

SRIVASTAVA, VIVEK

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2617

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER

2

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

See attached.

Response to Amendment

The reply filed on 9/15/05 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): the status of the claims is not clear (see below). See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

The Examiner respectfully submits that the previous amendment is non-responsive.

Applicant remarks, on page 13 of the previous response, "In order to advance prosecution of the present application, claims 2-4, 15 and 16 have been amended and claim 1 has been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer". However the status of claim 1 is not clear, since Applicant's argue against the rejection of claim 1. If claim 1 is indeed cancelled, then the status identifier for claim 1 in the claims should indicate the claim is cancelled.

In addition, Applicant remarks "With the presentation of two new extra independent claims, an additional filing fee is due" (see page 14). There does not appear to be new claims present. The Examiner requests the status of the two claims referred to by Applicant's.



VIVEK SRIVASTAVA
PRIMARY EXAMINER